arXiv:funct-an/9611002v1 23 Nov 1996

Isomorphism classes for irrational quantum Heisenberg manifolds.

Beatriz Abadie*

February 7, 2008

Abstract

Abstract: We embed the quantum Heisenberg manifold $D^c_{\mu\nu}$ in a crossed product algebra. This enables us to show that, in the irrational case, all tracial states on $D^c_{\mu\nu}$ induce the same homomorphism on $K_0(D^c_{\mu\nu})$. We conclude that two irrational quantum Heisenberg manifolds $D^c_{\mu\nu}$ and $D^c_{\mu'\nu'}$ are isomorphic if and only if the parameters (μ,ν) and (μ',ν') belong to the same orbit under the usual action of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on the torus $\mathbf{T}^2$.

1 Introduction.

For a positive integer c, let M_c denote the Heisenberg manifold consisting of the quotient G/H_c, where G is the Heisenberg group,

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y & z \\ 0 & 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x, y, z \in R \right\},$$

---

*Partially supported by Conicyt (Proyecto 2002), Uruguay. Part of the material in this work was contained in the author's Ph.D dissertation submitted to the University of California at Berkeley in May 1992.

1991 MR Classification: Primary 46L80, Secondary 46L55.

and H_c is the subgroup of G obtained when x, y, and cz are integers.

In [Rf3] Rieffel constructed a quantization deformation $\{D^{c,\hbar}_{\mu\nu}\}_{\hbar\in R}$ of M_c in the direction of a given Poisson bracket $\Lambda_{\mu\nu}$ determined by two real parameters μ and ν. We drop from now on the Planck constant $\hbar$ from our notation because the algebras $D^{c,\hbar}_{\mu\nu}$ and $D^{c,1}_{\hbar\mu,\hbar\nu}$ are isomorphic and we will denote either one by $D^c_{\hbar\mu,\hbar\nu}$. Also, since $D^c_{\mu\nu}\cong D^c_{\mu+n,\nu+m}$ for any integers n and m, we view the parameters μ and ν as running in the circle $\mathbf{T}$.

We discussed the K-theory of the quantum Heisenberg manifolds in [Ab2] and found that $K_0(D^c_{\mu\nu})=\mathbb{Z}^3\oplus\mathbb{Z}_c$ and $K_1(D^c_{\mu\nu})=\mathbb{Z}^3$, which shows that two algebras corresponding to deformations of different Heisenberg manifolds are not isomorphic. In [Ab1] we constructed finitely generated projective modules over the algebra $D^c_{\mu\nu}$ with traces 2μ and 2ν respectively, where the trace considered was that defined in [Rf3]. That suggests employing the range of traces on $K_0(D^c_{\mu\nu})$ as an invariant to discuss isomorphism and strong-Morita equivalence types of the family $\{D^c_{\mu\nu}\}$, as was done for noncommutative tori ([PV] ,[Rf1]) and Heisenberg C*-algebras ([Pa2],[Pa1]). We discuss in this work the homomorphisms on $K_0(D^c_{\mu\nu})$ induced by tracial states on $D^c_{\mu\nu}$, and show that, in case either μ or ν is irrational, they all agree and their range is the group $\mathbb{Z}+2\mu\mathbb{Z}+2\nu\mathbb{Z}$.

This work is organized as follows. In Section 2 we embed the algebra $D^c_{\mu\nu}$ in a crossed product. This is done in a more general context, by viewing the quantum Heisenberg manifolds as generalized fixed-point algebras, as in [Rf3]. In Section 3 we show that two quantum Heisenberg manifolds $D^c_{\mu\nu}$ and $D^c_{\mu'\nu'}$, where at least one among the four parameters is irrational, are isomorphic if and only if (μ,ν) and (μ',ν') belong to the same orbit under the usual action of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. It is known ([AE]) that this condition suffices to guarantee the two algebras are isomorphic. The converse statement is proven by comparing the range of traces on $K_0(D^c_{\mu\nu})$.

2 The embedding

The purpose of this section is to embed each quantum Heisenberg manifold in a crossed product algebra $A \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, A being a C*-subalgebra of $L^\infty(\mathbf{T}^2)$. Our construction carries over a somewhat more general context, which we next describe.

We first recall some facts established in [Ab2]. Let λ and σ be two commuting automorphisms of a C*-algebra B. Let $u : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}\mathcal{ZM}(B)$ be a λ-cocycle in the first variable and a σ-cocycle in the second one, and define the action $\gamma^{\sigma,u}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ on $B \rtimes_\lambda \mathbb{Z}$ by $(\gamma_k^{\sigma,u}\Phi)(p) = u(p,k)\sigma_k[\Phi(p)]$. When the C*-algebra $B = C_0(M)$ is commutative and the actions λ and σ are free and proper, then $\gamma^{\sigma,u}$ is proper and the corresponding generalized fixed-point algebra $D^{\sigma,u}$, in the sense of Rieffel ([Rf4]), is the closure in the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(C_0(M) \rtimes_\lambda \mathbb{Z})$ of the *-subalgebra $C^{\sigma,u}$ consisting of functions $\Phi \in C_c(\beta M \times \mathbb{Z})$ such that the projection of $supp_M(\Phi)$ on M/σ is precompact and $\gamma_k^{\sigma,u}\Phi = \Phi$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\gamma^{\sigma,u}$ has been extended to the multiplier algebra, and βM denotes the Stone-Cech compactification of M.

When the space M is taken to be $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{T}$, and $\sigma(x,y) = (x-1,y)$, $\lambda(x,y) = (x+2\mu, y+2\nu)$, and $u(p,k) = \exp(2\pi ickp(y-p\nu))$ for $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{T}$, $k,p \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $D^{\sigma,u}$ is the quantum Heisenberg manifold denoted in [Rf3] by $D^c_{\mu\nu}$, and we denote by $C^c_{\mu\nu}$ the dense *-subalgebra corresponding to $C^{\sigma,u}$.

In the general case, if F is a fundamental domain in M for the action σ (i.e. the canonical projection $\Pi : F \longrightarrow M/\sigma$ is a bijection), then any Φ in the dense subalgebra $C^{\sigma,u}$ is determined by the values $\Phi(m,p)$, for m running in F and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. This suggests the idea of untwisting those functions so that they can be viewed as functions on the quotient space M/σ. A natural way of doing that is by multiplying them by a function H on M satisfying the opposite condition $\gamma^{\sigma,u^*}H = H$. Besides, in order to get things to work from an algebraic point of view, it is necessary that H satisfy

$$\overline{H}_{-p}(\lambda_{-p}m) = H_p(m), \text{ and } H_{p+q}(m) = H_p(m)H_q(\lambda_{-p}m).$$

However, there might not be such continuous function on M. This is the case for quantum Heisenberg manifolds. If a continuous map H as above existed, then multiplication by the function $\gamma \in C(I\!R \times \mathbf{T})$ defined by $\gamma(x,y) = H_1(x, y+\nu)$ would be a $C(\mathbf{T}^2)$-module isomorphism between $C(\mathbf{T}^2)$ and $X = \{\Phi \in C(I\!R \times \mathbf{T}) : \Phi(x+1, y) = \exp(2\pi i c y)\Phi(x,y)\}$, in contradiction with [Rf2, 3.9].

This is the reason why we are forced to get out of $C_0(M/\sigma)$ and we will rather consider a bigger subalgebra of $L^\infty(M/\sigma)$, as was done in [Cu, 2.5] for the case of non-commutative tori.

Measurability considerations will impose some restrictions on the fundamental domain F. We next summarize the assumptions we will be making.

Assumptions and notation. In what follows, for a C*-algebra A we denote by $\mathcal{M}(A)$ its multiplier algebra and by $\mathcal{U}(A)$ the group of unitary elements in A.

Throughout this section λ and σ denote free and proper commuting actions of $Z\!\!\!Z$ on a locally compact Hausdorff space M, and
$u : Z\!\!\!Z \times Z\!\!\!Z \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}\mathcal{M}(C_0(M))$ denotes a map satisfying the cocycle conditions:

$$u(p+q,k) = u(p,k)\lambda_p[u(q,k)], \text{ and } u(p,k+l) = u(p,k)\sigma_k[u(p,l)],$$

for any $k, l, p, q \in Z\!\!\!Z$, where σ has been extended to the multiplier algebra. We also assume the existence of a Borel measurable fundamental domain F for σ in M such that the canonical projection $\Pi : F \longrightarrow M/\sigma$ has a Borel measurable inverse map. Thus a function f on M/σ is Borel measurable if and only if $f = \tilde{f} \circ \Pi$, for some Borel measurable function $\tilde{f}$ on M.

The generalized fixed-point algebra of $C_0(M) \rtimes_\lambda Z\!\!\!Z$ under the action $\gamma^{\sigma,u}$ of $Z\!\!\!Z$ defined by $(\gamma_k^{\sigma,u}\Phi)(m,p) = u(p,k)\Phi(\sigma_{-k}m, p)$, for $\Phi \in C_c(M \times Z\!\!\!Z)$ will be denoted by $D^{\sigma,u}$. We denote by $C^{\sigma,u}$ the dense *-subalgebra of $D^{\sigma,u}$ consisting of functions $\Phi \in C_c(\beta M \times Z\!\!\!Z)$ such that the projection of $supp_M(\Phi)$ on M/σ is precompact and that $\gamma_k^{\sigma,u}\Phi = \Phi$, for all $k \in Z\!\!\!Z$.

Lemma 2.1 *Let* $H : Z\!\!\!Z \to \mathcal{U}L^\infty(M)$ *be defined by:* $H_1(m) = u^*(1,k)(m)$, *for* $m \in \sigma_k F$, *and*

$$H_p(m) = \begin{cases} \prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\lambda_q H_1)(m) & \textit{if } p > 0 \\ 1 & \textit{if } p = 0 \\ \prod_{q=p}^{-1} \overline{(\lambda_q H_1)}(m) & \textit{if } p < 0 \end{cases} .$$

Then:

i) H is a λ-cocycle (i.e. $H_{p+q}(m) = H_p(m)H_q(\lambda_{-p}m)$ for all $m \in M$, $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$).

ii) $\overline{H}_{-p}(\lambda_{-p}m) = H_p(m)$, for all $m \in M$, and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$.

iii) $H_p(\sigma_{-k}m) = [u(p,k)H_p](m)$, for all $m \in M$, and $k, p \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof: *i*) For $q = 1$ and $p > 0$, we have

$$H_{p+1}(m) = \prod_{q=0}^{q=p} (\lambda_q H_1)(m) = H_p(m)(\lambda_{-p}H_1)(m) = H_p(m)H_1(\lambda_{-p}m).$$

An analogous computation shows that the equality holds for $p \leq 0$, and, once ii) is proven, the result follows by induction on q.

It suffices to prove ii) for $p > 0$, and in that case we have:

$$\overline{H}_{-p}(\lambda_{-p}m) = \prod_{q=-p}^{q=-1} (\lambda_{p+q}H_1)(m) = \prod_{q=0}^{q=p-1} (\lambda_q H_1)(m) = H_p(m).$$

Finally, for $p > 0$, we have

$$H_p(\sigma_{-k}m) = \prod_{q=0}^{q=p-1} (\lambda_q H_1)(\sigma_{-k}m) = \prod_{q=0}^{q=p-1} [\lambda_q(u(1,k))(\lambda_q H_1)](m) = u(p,k)H_p(m).$$

This ends the proof in view of ii). **Q.E.D.**

Notation 2.2 *Let H be as in Lemma 2.1. For $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Phi \in C^c_{\mu\nu}$ let $f_{\Phi,p} \in L^\infty(M/\sigma)$ be defined by $f_{\Phi,p}(\dot{m}) = H_p(m)\Phi(m,p)$, where $\dot{m}$ denotes the projection of m onto M/σ.*

Theorem 2.3 *Let H be as in Lemma 2.1. Then the generalized fixed-point algebra $D^{\sigma,u}$ can be embedded in the crossed-product $A \rtimes_\lambda \mathbb{Z}$, where A is any λ-invariant C^*-subalgebra of $L^\infty(M/\sigma)$ containing $\{f_{\Phi,p} : \Phi \in C^c_{\mu\nu}, p \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.*

Proof: Let $J : D^{\sigma,u} \longrightarrow A \rtimes_\lambda \mathbb{Z}$ be defined, at the level of functions $\Phi \in C^{\sigma,u}$, by $(J\Phi)(\dot{m},p) = f_{\Phi,p}(\dot{m})$. Properties i) and ii) in Lemma 2.1 guarantee that J be a *-algebra homomorphism:

$$(J\Phi^*)(\dot{m},p) = H_p(m)\overline{\Phi}(\lambda_{-p}m,-p) = \overline{H}_{-p}(\lambda_{-p}m)\overline{\Phi}(\lambda_{-p}m,-p) = (J\Phi)^*(\dot{m},p)$$

and

$$J(\Phi * \Psi)(\dot{m},p) = \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}} H_q(m)H_{p-q}(\lambda_{-q}m)\Phi(m,q)\Psi(\lambda_{-q}m,p-q) =$$

$$= H_p(m)(\Phi * \Psi)(m,p) = [J(\Phi * \Psi)](\dot{m},p).$$

Let μ_0 be a Borel measure of full support on F and, for $\sigma_k : F \to \sigma_k F$, and $\Pi : F \to M/\sigma$, set $\mu_k = (\sigma_k)_*(\mu_0)$, and $\tilde{\mu} = \Pi_*(\mu_0)$. Then $\tilde{\mu}$ and μ_k have full support on M/σ and $\sigma_k F$ respectively, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In what follows we will also denote by μ_k the Borel measure on M obtained by setting $\mu_k(X) = \mu_k(X \cap \sigma_k F)$, for a Borel subset X of M. Let now $\tilde{\Theta}$ and Θ^k, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote the representations of $A \rtimes_\lambda \mathbb{Z}$ and $D^{\sigma,u}$ on $L^2(M/\sigma \times \mathbb{Z}, \tilde{\mu} \times \nu)$ and $L^2(M \times \mathbb{Z}, \mu_k \times \nu)$ (ν being counting measure on $\mathbb{Z}$), respectively, defined by

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_\Psi \xi)(\dot{m},p) = \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}} \Psi(\lambda_p \dot{m},q)\xi(\dot{m},p-q),$$

and

$$(\Theta^k_\Phi \eta)(m,p) = \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}} \Phi(\lambda_p m,q)\eta(m,p-q),$$

where $\Phi \in C^{\sigma,u}$, $\Psi \in C_c(M/\sigma \times \mathbb{Z})$, $\xi \in L^2(M/\sigma \times \mathbb{Z}, \tilde{\mu} \times \nu)$ and $\eta \in L^2(M \times \mathbb{Z}, \mu_k \times \nu)$. Let $U : L^2(M/\sigma \times \mathbb{Z}, \tilde{\mu} \times \nu) \to L^2(M \times \mathbb{Z}, \mu_k \times \nu)$ be the unitary operator defined by $(U\xi)(m,p) = \overline{H}_p(\lambda_p m)\xi(\dot{m},p)$. Then, if $m \in \sigma_k F$ we have

$$|\tilde{\Theta}_{J\Phi}\xi(\dot{m},p)| = |\sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}} (J\Phi)(\lambda_p\dot{m},q)\xi(\dot{m},p-q)| =$$

$$= |\sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}} H_q(\lambda_p m)\Phi(\lambda_p m,q)(U\xi)(m,p-q)H_{p-q}(\lambda_{p-q}m)| =$$

$$= |\sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}} H_p(\lambda_p m)\Phi(\lambda_p m,q)(U\xi)(m,p-q)| = |\Theta^k_\Phi(U\xi)(m,p)|,$$

and it follows that $\|\tilde{\Theta}_{J\Phi}\xi\| = \|\Theta^k_\Phi(U\xi)\|$.

Now, the representation $\tilde{\Theta}$ is faithful ([Pd, 7.7.5., 7.7.7.]), therefore, for $\Phi \in C^{\sigma,u}$,

$$\|J\Phi\| = \|\tilde{\Theta}_{J\Phi}\| = \|\Theta^k_\Phi\| \leq \|\Phi\|,$$

so J can be extended to a continuous map on $D^{\sigma,u}$.

We next show that, for $\Phi \in C^{\sigma,u}$, we have $\|\Phi\| = \sup_k \|\Theta^k_\Phi\| = \|J\Phi\|$, which takes care of the injectivity of J.

First notice that the representation $\oplus_k \Theta^k$ is unitarily equivalent to the representation Θ of $D^{\sigma,u}$ on $L^2(M \times \mathbb{Z}, \mu \times \nu)$ defined by the same formula as Θ^k, where, for a Borel subset X of M, we set $\mu(X) = \sum_k \mu_k(X \cap \sigma_k F)$.

Thus it suffices to prove that Θ is faithful. In order to do that we show ([Pd, 7.7.5., 7.7.7.]) that μ has full support on M: Let $O \subset M$ be an open set such that $\mu(O) = 0$. Then, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have that $O \cap \sigma_k F$ is an open subset of $\sigma_k F$ and $\mu_k(O \cap \sigma_k F) = 0$. Since μ_k has full support on $\sigma_k F$ it follows that $A = \bigcup A \cap \sigma_k F = \emptyset$, which ends the proof.

Q.E.D.

From now on we will be dealing with the case of quantum Heisenberg manifolds. We next specialize Theorem 2.3 to that case.

Corollary 2.4 *Let λ be the action of $\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathbf{T}^2$ defined by $\lambda_k(x, y) = (x + 2k\mu, y + 2k\nu)$, and let A denote the smallest λ-invariant C^*-subalgebra of $L^\infty(\mathbf{T}^2)$ containing $C(\mathbf{T}^2)$ and the characteristic functions of the sets $[2k\mu, 2(k+1)\mu] \times \mathbf{T}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the quantum Heisenberg manifold $D^c_{\mu\nu}$ can be embedded in $A \rtimes_\lambda \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof: Let us take $F = [0, 1) \times \mathbf{T}$ as a fundamental domain for σ, and H as in Lemma 2.1. If $\Phi \in C^c_{\mu\nu}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $f_{\Phi,p}(x, y) = \Phi(x', y, p)$, where $x' \in [0, 1)$ and $\exp(2\pi i x') = \exp(2\pi i x)$. Therefore $f_{\Phi,p}$ belongs to the λ-invariant algebra A. Thus Theorem 2.3 applies to A.

Q.E.D.

3 The range of traces on $K_0(D^c_{\mu\nu})$.

We discuss in this section the range of traces on $K_0(D^c_{\mu\nu})$, when either μ or ν is irrational. We first give a description of tracial states on the algebra $D^c_{\mu\nu}$. The techniques involved are an adaptation of those usually employed (see [To, 3.3]) to relate λ-invariant probability measures on a G-space X to tracial states on $C_0(X) \rtimes_\lambda G$. Then, by embedding $D^c_{\mu\nu}$ in a crossed-product as in Section 2, we show that for any tracial state τ on $D^c_{\mu\nu}$ we have $\tau_*(K_0(D^c_{\mu\nu})) = \mathbb{Z} + 2\mu\mathbb{Z} + 2\nu\mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 3.1 *Let τ be a tracial state on $D^c_{\mu\nu}$. If either μ or ν is irrational, then there is a λ-invariant probability measure m_τ on $\mathbf{T}^2$ such that, for $\Phi \in C^c_{\mu\nu}$*

$$\tau(\Phi) = \int_{\mathbf{T}^2} \Phi(x, y, 0) dm_\tau.$$

The correspondence $\tau \mapsto m_\tau$ is bijective onto the space of λ-invariant probability measures on $\mathbf{T}^2$, and τ is faithful if and only if m_τ has full support on $\mathbf{T}^2$.

Proof: The proof makes use of the following construction. Let $d \in C(\mathbf{T})$ be such that $0 \le d \le 1$, $d(0) = 0$, and $d(1/2) = 1$. For $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ let

$$\Delta_1^p(x,y) = d^{1/2}(x), \text{ for } x \in [0,1],\ y \in \mathbf{T},$$

$$\Delta_2^p(x,y) = \begin{cases} (1-d(x))^{1/2} & \text{for } x \in [0,1/2], \text{ and } y \in \mathbf{T} \\ (1-d(x))^{1/2}\exp(-2\pi i c p(y - p\nu)) & \text{for } x \in [1/2,1], \text{ and } y \in \mathbf{T}, \end{cases}$$

and extend Δ_i^p, for $i = 1,2$, to continuous functions on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{T}$ by setting $\Delta_i^p(x+1,y) = \exp(-2\pi i c p(y-p\nu))\Delta_i^p(x,y)$, for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{T}$.

Now, for any $f \in C(\mathbf{T}^2)$, let the functions F_i^p on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{T} \times \mathbb{Z}$, for $i = 1,2$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ be defined by $F_i^p(x,y,q) = f(x,y)\Delta_i^p(x,y)\delta_p(q)$. Then $F_i^p \in C_{\mu\nu}^c$, for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $i = 1,2$.

On the other hand, if $\Phi\delta_p \in C_{\mu\nu}^c$, for some continuous function Φ on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbf{T}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the (pointwise) product $\Phi\overline{\Delta_i^p}$ is a continuous function on $\mathbf{T}^2$, for $i = 1,2$. Furthermore,

$$\Phi\overline{\Delta_1^p}\delta_0 * \Delta_1^p\delta_p + \Phi\overline{\Delta_2^p}\delta_0 * \Delta_2^p\delta_p = \Phi(|\Delta_1^p|^2 + |\Delta_2^p|^2)\delta_p = \Phi\delta_p,$$

where $*$ denotes the product on $D_{\mu\nu}^c$.

This shows that the subset of $C_{\mu\nu}^c$ consisting of δ_p-functions is the linear span of the set $\{f * \Delta_i^p\delta_p : i = 1,2; f \in C(\mathbf{T}^2)\}$.

We turn now to the proof of the proposition. Let τ be a tracial state on $C_{\mu\nu}^c$. The restriction of τ to $C(\mathbf{T}^2)$ gives rise to a probability measure m_τ on $\mathbf{T}^2$ such that $\tau(f) = \int_{\mathbf{T}^2} f dm_\tau$, for all $f \in C(\mathbf{T}^2)$. We next show that m_τ is λ-invariant. Fix $f \in C(\mathbf{T}^2)$ and set, as above, $F_i(x,y,p) = f(x,y)\Delta_i^p(x,y)\delta_1(p)$. Then,

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}^2} |f|^2 dm_\tau = \tau(F_1 * F_1^*) + \tau(F_2 * F_2^*) =$$

$$= \tau(F_1^* * F_1) + \tau(F_2^* * F_2) = \int_{\mathbf{T}^2} |(\lambda^{-1}f)|^2 dm_\tau,$$

which shows that m_τ is invariant. It only remains to show that $\tau(\Phi\delta_p) = 0$, for $p \in \mathbb{Z}, p \neq 0$. In view of the remarks above, it suffices to show that $\tau(f * \Delta_i^p\delta_p) = 0$, for all $f \in C(\mathbf{T}^2)$, and $p \in \mathbb{Z}, p \neq 0$. For a fixed

$p \in \mathbb{Z}, p \neq 0$, we can assume that f is a positive function and satisfies $supp(f) \cap supp(\lambda_p f) = \emptyset$, because, since the action λ is free and proper, any function $f \in C(\mathbf{T}^2)$ can be written as a sum of functions satisfying those conditions. So let $f \in C(\mathbf{T}^2)$ be as above . Then

$$\tau(f^2 * \Delta_i^p \delta_p) = \tau(f * f * \Delta_i^p \delta_p) = \tau(f * \Delta_i^p \delta_p * f) = 0,$$

because

$$f * \Delta_i^p \delta_p * f = f \Delta_i^p (\lambda_p f) \delta_p = 0.$$

Let now m_τ be a λ-invariant probability measure on $C(\mathbf{T}^2)$. We recall from [Rf3, p.557] that there is a contractive conditional expectation $E : D_{\mu\nu}^c \longrightarrow C(\mathbf{T}^2)$ defined for $\Phi \in C_{\mu\nu}^c$ by $(E\Phi)(x,y) = \Phi(x,y,0)$. We next show that $\tau(\Phi) = \int_{\mathbf{T}^2} (E\Phi) dm_\tau$ is a tracial state on $D_{\mu\nu}^c$. For $\Phi, \Psi \in C_{\mu\nu}^c$ we have $\tau(\Phi * \Psi) = \int_{\mathbf{T}^2} E(\Phi * \Psi) dm_\tau$, and

$$E(\Phi * \Psi)(x,y) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \Phi(x,y,q) \Psi(\lambda_{-q}(x,y), -q).$$

The sum above is finite, and each of its terms is a continuous function on $\mathbf{T}^2$. Therefore,

$$\tau(\Phi * \Psi) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbf{T}^2} \Phi(.,q) \lambda_q[\Psi(.,q)] dm_\tau =$$

$$= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbf{T}^2} \lambda_{-q}[\lambda_q[\Phi(.,-q)]\Psi(.,q) dm_\tau = \tau(\Psi * \Phi).$$

Finally, since E is faithful, τ is faithful if and only if m_τ has full support on $\mathbf{T}^2$.

Q.E.D.

Remark 3.2 *In the notation of Corollary 2.4, it follows from Proposition 3.1 and [To, 3.3.8] that, if either* μ *or* ν *is irrational, then all traces on* $D_{\mu\nu}^c$ *arise from restricting traces on* $A \rtimes_\lambda \mathbb{Z}$*, where* $D_{\mu\nu}^c$ *is embedded in* $A \rtimes_\lambda \mathbb{Z}$ *as in Theorem 2.3.*

Corollary 3.3 *If* $\{1, \mu, \nu\}$ *is linearly independent over the field of rational numbers, then the trace corresponding to Haar measure on* $\mathbf{T}^2$ *is the only tracial state in* $D^c_{\mu\nu}$.

Proof: Under the conditions above, the λ-orbits in $\mathbf{T}^2$ are dense. Therefore Haar measure is the only λ-invariant measure on $\mathbf{T}^2$. The uniqueness of the trace follows now from Proposition 3.1.

Q.E.D.

Lemma 3.4 *If* $\mu \leq 1/2$ *and* m *is a* λ*-invariant probability measure on* $\mathbf{T}^2$*, then* $m([0, 2\mu) \times \mathbf{T}) = 2\mu$.

Proof: First notice that the analogous result for $\mathbf{T}$ holds. Fix a real number $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. If υ is a measure on $\mathbf{T}$ invariant under translation by α, then $\upsilon([0, \alpha)) = \alpha$: If α is irrational, then υ is Haar measure on $\mathbf{T}$, and the result is obviously true. If α is rational, $\alpha = p/q$, for $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $(p, q) = 1$, then $\mathbf{T}$ is the disjoint union of the intervals $I_i = [i/q, (i + 1)/q)$, $i = 0, 1, ..., q - 1$.

Now, for all i, I_i can be obtained by translating I_0 by α an appropriate number of times . Therefore $\upsilon(I_i) = \upsilon(I_0) = 1/q$, for all $i = 1, ..., q - 1$, and it follows that $\upsilon([0, \alpha)) = \upsilon([0, p/q)) = p/q = \alpha$.

Let now m be a λ-invariant probability measure on $\mathbf{T}^2$. Define a probability measure υ on $\mathbf{T}$ by setting $\upsilon(X) = m(X \times \mathbf{T})$.

Then $\upsilon(A + 2\mu) = m((A + 2\mu) \times \mathbf{T}) = m(\lambda(A \times \mathbf{T})) = m(A \times \mathbf{T}) = \upsilon(A)$.

It follows now that $m([0, 2\mu) \times \mathbf{T}) = \upsilon([0, 2\mu)) = 2\mu$.

Q.E.D.

Theorem 3.5 *If either μ or ν is irrational, then all tracial states τ on $D^c_{\mu\nu}$ induce the same homomorphism τ_* on $K_0(D^c_{\mu\nu})$. Besides, $\tau_*(K_0(D^c_{\mu\nu})) = \mathbb{Z} + 2\mu\mathbb{Z} + 2\nu\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof: For a tracial state τ_0 on $D^c_{\mu\nu}$, we denote by τ its extension to $A \rtimes_\lambda \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $\tau(\Phi) = \int_{\mathbf{T}^2} \Phi(x, y, 0) dm_{\tau_0}$, for $\Phi \in C_c(\mathbb{Z}, A)$, and m_{τ_0} as in Proposition 3.1 . We have the following short exact sequence ([Pm, 3,4]):

$$0 \longrightarrow \tau_*(K_0(A)) \xrightarrow{i} \tau'_*(K_0(A \rtimes_\lambda \mathbb{Z})) \xrightarrow{q} \Delta^\lambda_\tau(K) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $K = \{u \in \mathcal{U}_1(A) : [u]_{K_1} \in ker(1 - \lambda_*\})$, i and q are inclusion and projection on $\mathbb{R}/\tau_*(K_0(A))$ respectively, $\Delta^\lambda_\tau(u) = q[\Delta_\tau(u\lambda(u^{-1}))]$, and $\Delta_\tau : (\mathcal{U}_1)_0 \longrightarrow R$ is defined by $\Delta_\tau(e^{2\pi i y}) = \tau(y)$, for y self-adjoint.

Let us relabel the set $X = (2\mu\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}) \cap (0, 1)$ so that $X = \{x_i : i \in N\}$. Let A_n be the smallest C*-subalgebra of $L^\infty(\mathbf{T}^2)$ generated by $C(\mathbf{T}^2)$ and $\chi_{[0,x_i]\times\mathbf{T}}$, for $i = 1, ..., n$. Then $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq ... \subseteq A_n \subseteq ...$, and A is the direct limit of $\{A_n\}$. Now, $A_n \simeq \bigoplus_{j=0}^{j=n} C([x_{i_j}, x_{i_{j+1}}] \times \mathbf{T})$, where $\{x_{i_j}\}^n_{j=1} = \{x_i\}^n_{i=1}$, $x_{i_0} = 0$, $x_{i_{n+1}} = 1$, and $x_{i_j} < x_{i_{j+1}}$ for all $j = 0, 1, ..., n$.

Since $[a, b] \times \mathbf{T}$ can be deformed to $\mathbf{T}$, it follows that $K_j(A_n) = \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ $\forall n \in N$, $j = 1, 2$. The set

$$\{[\chi_{[x_i,x_j]\times\mathbf{T}}]_{K_0} : x_i, x_j \in X \cup \{0, 1\}, x_i, x_j\}$$

is a generator of $K_0(A)$, and any arbitrary element of $K_1(A)$ has a representative u of the form:

$$u(x, y) = e(n_i y) \text{ if } x \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$$

for a partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_n = 1, \{t_i\}^{i=n-1}_{i=1} \subset X$, and integers $n_i, i = 0, ..., n - 1$.

Now, by Lemma 3.4, we have that $\tau_*(K_0(A)) \subseteq \mathbb{Z} + 2\mu\mathbb{Z}$. Since id and $\chi_{[0,2\mu+k_0]\times\mathbf{T}} \in A$ for some k_0, the equality holds, and $\tau_*(K_0(A)) = \mathbb{Z} + 2\mu\mathbb{Z}$.

Let us now find the elements $[u]_{K_1} \in K_1(A)$ that are left fixed by λ_*, where u is as above. For $[u]_{K_1} \in K_1(A)$,

$$\lambda_k(u)(x,y) = u(x - 2k\mu, y - 2k\nu),$$

that is,

$$\lambda_k(u)(x,y) = e(n_i(y - 2k\nu)) \text{ where } x - 2k\mu \in [x_i, x_{i+1}).$$

Fix $a \in [x_0, x_1)$. If μ is irrational, then for all $i = 0, 1...n$ there exists $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a - 2k_i\mu \in [x_i, x_{i+1})$ and $(\lambda_{k_i}(u))(a,y) = e(n_i(y - 2k_i\nu))$. It is clear now that $[u]_{K_1} = [\lambda_k(u)]_{K_1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $n_i = n_0$ for all $i = 0, 1...n$. Therefore $\Delta_{\tau'}(u\lambda(u^{-1})) = \tau(2n_0\nu.Id) = 2n_0\nu$, and it follows that $K = 2\nu\mathbb{Z}$. If 2μ is rational, $2\mu = p/q$, where $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, (p,q) = 1$, then $X = \{i/q : i = 0, ..., q\}$ and u is of the form:

$$u(x,y) = e(n_k y) \text{ for } x \in I_k = [k/q, (k+1)/q], \text{ and } k = 0, 1..., q-1.$$

Translation by p/q gives a transitive action of $\mathbb{Z}_q$ on the set $\{I_k\}$, since $(p,q) = 1$, so the same reasoning as for the irrational case applies, and $[u]_{K_1} = [\lambda u]_{K_1}$ if and only if $u(x,y) = e(ny)$ for all x, y. Then, as above, $K = 2\nu\mathbb{Z}$.

Therefore the short exact sequence above splits, and $\tau_*(K_0(A \rtimes_\lambda \mathbb{Z})) = \mathbb{Z} + 2\mu\mathbb{Z} + 2\nu\mathbb{Z}$, so $\tau_*(K_0(D^c_{\mu\nu})) \subseteq \mathbb{Z} + 2\mu\mathbb{Z} + 2\nu\mathbb{Z}$.

Now, it is shown in [Pm, 2,3] that, for $[p] \in K_0(A \rtimes_\lambda \mathbb{Z})$, the choice of $u \in K$ such that $q(\tau_*([p])) = \Delta^\lambda_\tau(u)$ does not depend on τ, and we just proved that $\Delta^\lambda_\tau(u)$ does not depend on τ either. So we have $\tau_*[p] = \Delta^\lambda_\tau(u) + \tau_*([p_0])$, for some $p_0 \in K_0(A)$. We next show that $\tau_*([p_0])$ is independent of τ as well. The preceding remarks show that $[p_0]$ has a representative $h \in \bigoplus C([x_{i_j}, x_{i_{j+1}}] \times \mathbf{T})$, so h is constant on $[x_{i_j}, x_{i_{j+1}}] \times \mathbf{T}$ for each j. Our claim then follows from Lemma 3.4, since $\tau_*([p_0]) = \int_{\mathbf{T}^2} h dm_{\tau_0}$. So $(\tau_0)_*$ does not depend on τ_0, and $(\tau_0)_*(K_0(D^c_{\mu\nu})) \subset \mathbb{Z} + 2\mu\mathbb{Z} + 2\nu\mathbb{Z}$. Finally, the equality holds because it is attained for the trace induced by Haar measure on $\mathbf{T}^2$ ([Ab1]).

Q.E.D.

Corollary 3.6 *Let* $D^c_{\mu\nu}$ *and* $D^c_{\mu'\nu'}$ *be quantum Heisenberg manifolds such that at least one among* μ, ν, μ', *and* ν' *is irrational. Then* $D^c_{\mu\nu}$ *and* $D^c_{\mu'\nu'}$ *are isomorphic if and only if* (μ, ν) *and* (μ', ν') *belong to the same orbit under the usual action of* $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ *on* $\mathbf{T}^2$.

Proof: If either μ or ν is irrational, since Haar measure induces a trace τ on $D^c_{\mu'\nu'}$ such that $\tau_*(K_0(D^c_{\mu'\nu'})) = \mathbb{Z} + 2\mu'\mathbb{Z} + 2\nu'\mathbb{Z}$ ([Ab1]), we have, in view of Theorem 3.5, that $\mathbb{Z} + 2\mu\mathbb{Z} + 2\nu\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} + 2\mu'\mathbb{Z} + 2\nu'\mathbb{Z}$, which implies (see, for instance [Pa1, 2.13]) that (μ, ν) and (μ', ν') are in the same orbit under the action of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The converse statement was shown in [AE, Thm. 2.2].

Q.E.D.

References

[Ab1] Abadie, B. *"Vector bundles" over quantum Heisenberg manifolds.* Algebraic Methods in Operator Theory, Birkhäuser, (1994), pp. 307-315.

[Ab2] Abadie, B. *Generalized fixed-point algebras of certain actions on crossed-products.* Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol 171, No.1, (1995), pp. 1-21.

[AE] Abadie, B.; Exel, R. *Hilbert* C^**-bimodules over commutative* C^**-algebras and an isomorphism condition for quantum Heisenberg manifolds.* Preprint, (1996).

[Cu] Cuntz, J. *K-theory for certain* C^**-algebras II.* Journal of Operator Theory, 5 (1981) 101-108.

[Pa1] Packer, J. C^**-algebras generated by projective representations of the discrete Heisenberg group.* Journal of Operator Theory, 18 (1987), 41-66.

[Pa2] Packer, J. *Strong Morita equivalence for Heisenberg C*-algebras and the positive cones of their K_0-groups.*
Canadian Journal of Mathematics, Vol XL, No. 4 (1988), 833-864.

[Pd] Pedersen, G. *C*-algebras and their automorphism groups.*
Academic Press, London/New York/San Francisco (1979).

[Pm] Pimsner, M. *Ranges of traces on K_0 of reduced crossed products by free groups.*
"Operator algebras and their connections with topology and ergodic theory" Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No 1132, Springer (1985), pp. 374-408.

[PV] Pimsner, M. ; Voiculescu, D. *Embedding the irrational rotation C*-algebra into an AF algebra.*
Journal of Operator Theory, 4 (1980), 201-210.

[Rf1] Rieffel, M. *C*-algebras associated with irrational rotations.*
Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 93, 2, (1981), 415-429.

[Rf2] Rieffel, M. *The cancellation theorem for projective modules over irrational rotation C*-algebras.*
Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 47 (1983), 285-302.

[Rf3] Rieffel, M. *Deformation quantization of Heisenberg manifolds.*
Commun. Math. Phys. 122 (1989), 531-562.

[Rf4] Rieffel, M. *Proper actions of groups on C*-algebras.*
Mappings of operator algebras, Proc. Japan-US joint seminar, Birkhäuser (1990) 141-182.

[To] Tomiyama, J. *Invitation to C*-algebras and topological dynamics.*
World Scientific Advanced Series in Dynamical Systems, Vol. 3 (1987)

Centro de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias
Eduardo Acevedo 1139, C.P 11 200, Montevideo, Uruguay.
E-mail address: `abadie@@cmat.edu.uy`